



The Next Generation of Transportation Policy

Michael Greenstone, Cass Sunstein, and Sam Ori



Two Facts about the National Program

- 1. Fuel Savings Have Been Disappointing
- 2. The Program's Benefits are Expensive



1. Fuel Savings Have Been Disappointing

Projected vs. Achieved Fuel Economy Performance



- Shifting consumer preferences have had a real impact over the past two model years
- Based on the latest data from NHTSA, actual fleet fuel efficiency performance trailed original projections by 1.4 miles per gallon in MY 2016 and the gap could widen to as much as 4.0 mpg in 2017.

Source: NHTSA, EPA



1. Fuel Savings Have Been Disappointing



Projected vs. Achieved Fuel Economy Performance

- Headline fuel savings depend on steep improvements in efficiency through 2025
- California and twelve other states have effectively reaffirmed their commitment to this trajectory

Source: NHTSA, EPA



2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025

1. Fuel Savings Have Been Disappointing



- Differential treatment of cars and trucks and the use of footprint based standards
- Fuel savings depend on the mix of cars versus trucks
- Cheap fuel prices and consumer preferences for SUVs have shifted the fleet toward trucks

Source: NHTSA, BEA



2. The Program's Benefits are Expensive



Fuel Economy vs. Social Cost of Carbon

- Research estimates attributebased standards have a total social cost of \$241 per tonne of CO₂ abated
- USG estimate of social cost of carbon is \$37 per tonne

Source: Jacobsen (2013)

Proposal: A Cap and Trade for Transportation

Why Cap and Trade?

1) High level of certainty for emissions reductions

- Closer to regulating consumption instead of efficiency
- Eliminate several weaknesses of the current system, including dual treatment, attribute-based standards, and credit loopholes
- Cap level would be based on U.S. policy goals

2) More bang for the buck

• Market-based regulation would greatly reduce compliance costs for the auto industry

3) Could be implemented under existing EPA authority

Does not require new legislation

1

The federal government determines an industry-wide cap consistent with U.S. policy goals

- > Promotes certainty
- Regulates
 expected fuel
 consumption

2.

1.

The federal government determines an industry-wide cap consistent with U.S. policy goals

- > Promotes certainty
- Regulates
 expected fuel
 consumption

Each automaker must hold a permit in gallons for the lifetime fuel consumption of each car it sells

 Determined as the product of efficiency and expected lifetime vehicle miles traveled

2.

1.

The federal government determines an industry-wide cap consistent with U.S. policy goals

- > Promotes certainty
- Regulates
 expected fuel
 consumption

Each automaker must hold a permit in gallons for the lifetime fuel consumption of each car it sells

 Determined as the product of efficiency and expected lifetime vehicle miles traveled

Regulators set allocation and auction rules

3.

 Allocation of permits can be used to address distributional concerns and/or raise revenue

2.

1.

The federal government determines an industry-wide cap consistent with U.S. policy goals

- Promotes certainty
- Regulates
 expected fuel
 consumption

Each automaker must hold a permit in gallons for the lifetime fuel consumption of each car it sells

 Determined as the product of efficiency and expected lifetime vehicle miles traveled

Regulators set allocation and auction rules

3.

Allocation of permits can be used to address distributional concerns and/or raise revenue

Regulators set-up robust secondary market for permits

- Possible to increase liquidity through financial markets
- Presence of a central broker could reduce transaction costs

Key Issues

1) Determining Expected Lifetime Fuel Consumption

- Vehicle retirement data is already available at the VIN number through state government databases and private vendors like IHS Markit
- Could eventually use state-level emissions testing data or federal collection

2) Distributional Considerations

- Initial allocation of permits creates the opportunity to address legitimate distributional issues
- 3) Comprehensiveness
 - Could be expanded to include medium- and heavy-duty trucks
 - Could eventually connect with power markets

The Opportunity

- 1) The current system is not meeting the goals of advocates or industry
- 2) The Trump administration has re-opened the 2022-2025 standards
- 3) CA and 12 other states reaffirmed their commitment to existing standards on Friday, setting up a legal and policy showdown
- 4) The time is ripe for new ideas

A Market-Based Approach to Vehicle Regulation

Identifying Opportunities for Progress on Energy and Climate Policy

Protecting Urban Places and Urban People from Rising Climate Risk Matthew E. Kahn

Proposal #1: Improving key urban infrastructure

- The challenge of aging infrastructure
- The Trump administration has called for major investments in infrastructure
- Key infrastructure ranging from the transportation network, to the electricity grid, to sewer systems now face greater risk from severe storms, extreme heat, and sea level rise.
- What steps can be taken to increase system resilience in the face of increased risks?

Proposal #1: Three key steps

- Diagnosing Infrastructure Resilience Risk
- Financing Resilience Investment
- Evaluating the Effectiveness of New Infrastructure Investment

Proposal #2: Protecting the urban poor

- Reducing the likelihood that the tragedy caused by Hurricane Katrina ever happens again
- Information Provision and Short Run Forecasts
- Short Run Protection and Emergency Plans
 - Uber, cooling centers and point to point transportation
- Medium Term Adaptation
 - Migration incentives
 - Land use planning

Proposal #3: Insurance and water pricing reform

- The coasts are often beautiful
- But, they are increasingly risky and climate change is shifting such flood risk and actuaries have trouble quantifying these risks changes.
- Climate risk disclosure mandates when homes are sold
- Insurance pricing reform to reduce concerns about "moral hazard" effects induced by government subsidized insurance reliance
- The introduction of dynamic pricing for a larger set of water and electricity consumers (the law of demand!)

Investing in Resilient Infrastructure

When Real Options Matter Steve Strongin

Better functioning markets and climate change

- Increased information collection and dissemination helps to improve repeated decisions, but it's less effective for low-frequency or highly pathdependent decision trees
- Climate change poses particularly difficult problems in that as much of its impact arises from future changes in the tails of distributions and optimal responses often involve choices between mutually exclusive alternatives
- As a result, we often need to take stronger account of path dependencies and coordination problems

Two broad classes of investment problems Steady state shifts

1) Steady state shifts

- Kahn's current proposals make a great deal of sense here
- Organizing information to allow normal social planning processes to strengthen engineering requirements:
 - Positive feedback loop: information, standard-setting, climate-resilient investments
- Primary tools are building codes and specifications for public infrastructure
 - Both appropriately addressed at the state-level and can be linked to federal funding sources, including flood insurance

Two broad classes of investment problems Migration or major re-imagining of infrastructure

2) Where migration or major re-imagining of infrastructure is required for optimal adjustment: real options matter

- Much of the needed information is about what other economic agents will do (where will they migrate and when?) – not about climate change specifically
- Primary impediments to investment are coordination and anchoring in both the behavioral and engineering sense

Solutions for migration investment problems "Re-anchoring"

Optimal solutions to migration problems involve "re-anchoring" at the community level

- Individual agents need to perceive a relatively low level of uncertainty related to adaptation, in order to align actions with other members of the community
- More similar to the economics of "suburban flights" from cities or gentrification, rather than a typical investment problem
- Optimal policy actions will often resemble standard infrastructure spending, creating the skeletons of future communities in less-exposed locations to facilitate and incentivize migration

Solutions for migration investment problems Two uncomfortable truths

- When migration is optimal, it is difficult to avoid reinforcing bad anchors with partially-adequate investments and ill-designed flood insurance
- Successful migrations may be associated with historical patterns of the community that were not universally applauded

Disclosures

This report has been prepared by the Global Markets Institute, the public-policy research unit of the Global Investment Research Division of The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. ("Goldman Sachs"). As public-policy research, this report, while in preparation, may have been discussed with or reviewed by persons outside of the Global Investment Research Division, both within and outside Goldman Sachs, and parts of the paper may have been written by persons outside of the Global Investment Research Division. While this report may discuss implications of legislative, regulatory and economic policy developments for industry sectors, it does not attempt to distinguish among the prospects or performance of, or provide analysis of, individual companies and does not recommend any individual security or an investment in any individual company and should not be relied upon in making investment decisions with respect to individual companies or securities.

Distributing entities

This research is disseminated in Australia by Goldman Sachs Australia Pty Ltd (ABN 21 006 797 897); in Brazil by Goldman Sachs do Brasil Corretora de Títulos e Valores Mobiliários S.A.; in Canada by Goldman, Sachs & Co. regarding Canadian equities and by Goldman, Sachs & Co. (all other research); in Hong Kong by Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.L.C.; in India by Goldman Sachs (India) Securities Private Ltd.; in Japan by Goldman Sachs Japan Co., Ltd.; in the Republic of Korea by Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.L.C., Seoul Branch; in New Zealand by Goldman Sachs New Zealand Limited; in Russia by OOO Goldman Sachs; in Singapore by Goldman Sachs (Singapore) Pte. (Company Number: 198602165W); and in the United States of America by Goldman, Sachs & Co. Goldman Sachs International has approved this research in connection with its distribution in the United Kingdom and European Union.

European Union: Goldman Sachs International authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority and the Prudential Regulation Authority, has approved this research in connection with its distribution in the European Union and United Kingdom; Goldman Sachs AG and Goldman Sachs International Zweigniederlassung Frankfurt, regulated by the Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht, may also distribute research in Germany.

Goldman Sachs conducts a global full-service, integrated investment banking, investment management and brokerage business. It has investment banking and other business relationships with governments and companies around the world, and publishes equity, fixed income, commodities and economic research about, and with implications for, those governments and companies that may be inconsistent with the views expressed in this report. In addition, its trading and investment businesses and asset management operations may take positions and make decisions without regard to the views expressed in this report.

© 2017 Goldman Sachs.

No part of this material may be (i) copied, photocopied or duplicated in any form by any means or (ii) redistributed without the prior written consent of The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.

Goldman Sachs

Identifying Opportunities for Progress on Energy and Climate Policy

Identifying Opportunities for Progress on Energy and Climate Policy

