

PROMOTING FINANCIAL WELL-BEING IN RETIREMENT

BROOKINGS



ADDRESSING THE CHALLENGES OF THE LONG-TERM CARE INSURANCE MARKET

BROOKINGS

STRENGTHENING RISK PROTECTION THROUGH PRIVATE LONG-TERM CARE INSURANCE

Wesley Yin
UCLA and NBER

LTC finance reform is imperative

- Greatest source of financial risk facing American seniors
 - On average, \$65,000 in LTSS spending for senior couple;
 5% chance spending exceeds \$260,000
 - Public coverage is limited; few buy private insurance
 - Middle-class Americans at greatest risk
- Medicaid faces fiscal challenges
 - \$123 billion: accounts for nearly 30% of Medicaid budget
 - o Growing at 6% per year, far higher than GDP growth

Key reform objectives

- Increases risk protection for the middle class
- Strengthen Medicaid through increased efficiency and services that meet the varied needs of Americans

Constraints and a path forward

• Important constraint

- Little support for a large expansion of public spending...
 ...or for a mandatory financing program
- Squeezing a water balloon: a voluntary program necessarily trades off spending, coverage, and stability objectives

Guiding principles

- We don't necessarily need more financing; we need to redirect current public and private direct LTSS spending toward financing more insurance
- Encourage private capital before public spending, for those who can afford it
- Progressive; modest but meaningful coverage expansion

Proposal: LTC Advantage Program

- Voluntary LTC Advantage program
 - Cost sharing subsidy for direct LTSS claims in lieu of Medicaid LTC benefits
 - Progressive cost share rate, pegged to lifetime Medicare earnings
 - Allows people to "top up" public LTSS benefit to cover assets above Medicaid levels
- Two-sided risk-corridor program
 - Common shocks: undiversifiable interest rate, lapse, and disability rate risks
 - o "Qualifying" losses shared among carriers, consumers, and government
 - o Covers qualifying losses only; no moral hazard or bailouts
 - O Such risks are already borne by consumers and government!

Other parts of the LTC Advantage Program

- Product standardization fosters smarter competition
 - o HCBS, assisted living-only benefits, combination products
- Expand pool of savings
 - Penalty-free withdrawals from tax-advantaged retirement accounts
- Encourage employers to offer private LTC insurance
- Medicare demonstration
 - Integrate financing of LTSS and primary and acute health care delivery
 - Medicare Advantage or ACO mechanisms
 - Integrates into LTC Advantage platform



ADDRESSING THE CHALLENGES OF THE LONG-TERM CARE INSURANCE MARKET

BROOKINGS



IMPROVING POLICY FOR RETIREMENT SAVINGS ACCOUNTS

BROOKINGS

BUILDING ON WHAT WORKS: A PROPOSAL TO MODERNIZE RETIREMENT SAVINGS

John Friedman Brown University

The Challenge

- Workers are living longer and costlier lives in retirement
- Traditional sources of retirement income, such as Social Security and DB Pensions, are shrinking
- →The middle class relies more than ever on individual savings, mostly through DC accounts.

The Proposal

- Consolidate all retirement accounts into a single account (the "URSA") that a worker can use for all retirement savings throughout her life
 - Relocate many of the costs of plan management (e.g., account upkeep and fiduciary responsibility) to account managers
- 2. Offer firms large tax credits (\$22 billion / yr) for enrolling workers in retirement savings plans
 - Pay for tax credit with a partial roll-back of the current tax credits for retirement savings

Benefits for Workers

- Large increase in participation
 - Projected to increase from 41% up to 65% for private employers
 - Biggest effects for workers at small firms
- Simplification will:
 - o Improve decision-making in asset management
 - o Reduce pre-retirement leakage
 - o Reduce hassle
- New tax incentives provide more benefit to the middle class
 - Increases share of tax expenditure from 32% to 43%

Benefits for Employers

- Benefits firms directly for helping their workers save
 - Tax credit is large enough to overcome costs of take-up
- Reduces costs of providing access for workers
 - Small firms especially not well suited for administrative and fiduciary responsibilities
 - Projected costs just as important as actual costs
- Employers can tailor offerings for workers
 - National parameters on defaults provide bounds
 - Firms have room to adjust settings to the needs of particular workers



IMPROVING POLICY FOR RETIREMENT SAVINGS ACCOUNTS

BROOKINGS