# THE BROOKINGS INSTITUTION

# BACK TO SCHOOL: PROMOTING ATTAINMENT AND ACHIEVEMENT IN K-12 EDUCATION

Washington, D.C. Thursday, September 27, 2012

### PARTICIPANTS:

### Introduction

ROBERT E. RUBIN Co-Chair, Council on Foreign Relations Former U.S. Treasury Secretary

# **Featured Remarks**

THE HONORABLE ARNE DUNCAN Secretary U.S. Department of Education

\* \* \* \* \*

PROCEEDINGS

MR. RUBIN: As Michael just said: we're going to close today's forum on

a truly special note with U.S. Secretary of Education Arne Duncan. Under Secretary

Duncan's leadership, the Department of Education has worked to increase college

graduation rates and expect by the end of this decade to have the highest rate through

our country, and in that context of secured increases of Pell Grants and launched an

income based student loan repayment program.

As you well know, Secretary Duncan has put in place and moved forward

a reform effort, Race to the Top, and also investing in education. Secretary Duncan has

also led the department in investing substantially in low performance schools throughout

the country, a subject that we have discussed today.

Secretary Duncan has been immersed in public education throughout his

career. He was the chief executive officer of the Chicago Public School system, and with

enormous success, united the education community, not always an easy thing, behind

the school reform in Chicago.

Following his remarks, Secretary Duncan will take questions from the

floor. And with that, it is my enormous pleasure to welcome to the podium the United

States Secretary of the - I almost said Secretary of the Treasury - the United States

Secretary of Education Arne Duncan.

(Applause)

SECRETARY DUNCAN: Thank you so much for that kind introduction.

You definitely don't want me at the Treasury. I'm quite happy where I am. I'm going to

keep my remarks pretty brief. I'd like to have a conversation with you. I'm just thrilled

that this audience and this community is focused on education.

And obviously we think there's nothing more important to the country than being

engaged in lots of challenges, lots of hard work ahead of us. But I really do believe collectively we have a chance to break through in a fundamental way. So I thought I'd give you a little snapshot of where I think we are, where we're trying to go, and what the

next steps are.

A couple of numbers haunt me, keep me up every single night. A 25 percent drop-out rate in this country. That's a million of our kids leaving our schools each year. No good jobs out there for them. In many of our African and Latino communities, that's 40, 50, 60 percent. And we're devastating not just children and families, but entire communities unless we fundamentally challenge that.

Many of you guys know these stats. We used to lead the world in college graduation rates. Today we're 14th. Thirteen other countries have passed us by and then we wonder why we're struggling economically. And then I continue to think about what I call the skills gap. In a time of high unemployment rates, we have as many as 2 million high weight, high skilled jobs that we can't fill. I think we in education have to look at ourselves in the mirror and say: what are we doing to close that gap? So those are the challenges. What are the implications?

Your reports have been so important on this, the economic imperative to get better. People have talked about the drop-out rate is having the affect of a permanent recession on our economy. You guys know that employment rates for college graduates versus high school graduates versus high school drop-outs. The dividing line in our country is absolutely staggering there.

So economic imperative, issue of national security, which I think more and more people are becoming aware of. And Condoleezza Rice has been so passionate and clear on this that it's real staggering. Seventy-five percent of our nation's young people can't qualify for the military service. They're academically unprepared,

physically unfit or have a criminal record. That's simply not good enough.

And I've said repeatedly, I was in Topeka, Kansas as part of our two week back to school bus tour with Dennis Van Roekel, went to Topeka, Kansas, site of Brown versus Board. And I said there and I've said for years that I think this is the civil rights issue of our generation. And I'm just convinced that the buy-in line in our country stays much more not race, not class, but around educational opportunity. And if we're serious about closing achievement gaps, we have to close opportunity gaps. And I don't think we have had anything near the sense of urgency and commitment to closing those opportunity gaps that we need to as a country. And at the site of Brown versus Board, obviously more than five decades ago, to look at the staggering inequities, inequalities and opportunities today by any measure, we have to get better faster.

So all of those things compel us to act. The president has provided just extraordinary leadership on this. He understands what's at stake. Congress, despite the current dysfunction in the past, have been supportive. And we all have to work on this together, put politics and ideology to the side. Together we have to educate our way to a better economy and get our country in a much different place.

How do we get there? I always talk about a cradle to career agenda. We have to start with early childhood education. I think I can make a pretty compelling case. That's the best investment we can make. If we can get our babies, our three and four year olds into kindergarten at five, ready to read, ready to succeed, we start to close those achievement gaps, we close the opportunity gap. If we don't do that, we are constantly playing catch-up. We play catch-up at every level of the education system, from primary to middle to high school. Many of our colleges today, 30, 40, 50 percent of young people are taking remedial classes in college. They're simply not ready. So an investment in early childhood education is so important.

I've been very public saying historically in many ways I think our

Department of Education has been part of the problem. This is one of those areas where

we simply didn't invest in early childhood education. With Congress' support over the

past two years, over \$600 million are going to states that are willing to increase access,

make sure it's high quality, and going to disadvantaged communities.

This is a long term play. We're not going to see the results today or

tomorrow or next week or next year, but over the next 5, 10, 15 years, I think the

implications there are huge.

On K to 12 reform; obviously that's the next step. Thanks to the

Recovery Act, we were able to save about 400,000 jobs of teachers and educators. And

while a country we lost about 300,000, I really do believe we stayed off an education

catastrophe.

And it would have been just stunning to see what would have happened to class

size, what would have happened to extra curriculars, what would have happened to more

schools going to four day weeks rather than five day weeks, going the wrong direction,

had we allowed this 400,000 teachers to leave our schools and go into the

unemployment lines. So I thought it was a very important move at the time. Would love

to have been able to do more, couldn't do more than that, but again, I think its stay it

would have been an absolute disaster.

We've seen 46 states voluntarily raise standards, college and career rate

standards that are internationally benchmarked. That was an absolute game changer.

And I keep trying to get someone to challenge me on this. I literally think this is the first

time in our nation's history that a child in Mississippi and a child in Massachusetts is

going to be held to the same yardstick.

And teaching to those higher standards is going to take a lot of effort.

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100

We've got to be much more thoughtful in professional development, getting parents to

understand this, thinking about how students understand the work level that's going to be

required there. There's a massive implementation and communications challenge that

states are working through in a very significant way.

But we know over the past 5, 10 years, many states dummied down

standards, went the opposite direction because it made politicians look good. So bad for

children, bad for education, bad for the country, but politicians of both parties had some

political benefit for saying the results were better. And to see states moving the opposite

direction is a big, big, big deal. One quick example, Tennessee, and my numbers won't

be exact, but in Tennessee, before they raised standards, they were saying I think it was

like fourth grade math, that 91 percent of students were proficient. When they raised

standards, it went from something like 91 percent to 38 percent. And achievement gaps,

they were already large, doubled, achievement gaps doubled. But guess what. For the

first time, they were telling the truth. They were setting an honest baseline and we can all

work together from that point.

So implementation is the big, big challenge moving forward. But I

couldn't be more proud of the leadership of political leaders, state superintendents, folks

across the country doing the right thing together in raising standards.

As mentioned when I was coming in, chronically low performance

schools. Race to the Top has gotten all the press, that's fine; that was \$4 billion for the

country. What folks haven't focused on I think enough is we also invested \$4 billion in

the bottom 5 percent of schools, a massively disproportionate investment, not in the

status quo, but in a very different division of reform.

We have 1,300 schools now across the country, elementary, middle,

high school, urban, rural, suburban that are in the process of being turned around. It is

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100

very, very early in the first year or two, but in many of those schools we're seeing double

digit gains in reading scores, double digit gains in math scores, graduate rates up

significantly. The high school we were at talking to the principal in Topeka, in one year

they've had a 90 percent reduction in violent incidents and discipline problems at the

school. It's starting to be a climate which you can talk about AP calculus and physics and

going on to college, a massive amount of change.

Folks at the local level, management, superintendents, union leaders are

working and collaborating in really, really tough work with almost no drama. And the fact

that people aren't yelling and screaming at each other, the press doesn't pay any

attention. But I think this has been just an unbelievable profile in courage.

The NEA, under Dennis Van Roekel's leadership, is helping to turn

around these schools. He and I visited a school in Prince Georges County where they

had moved out a significant portion of the staff, had brought in more social workers, more

counselors.

At very public meeting like this, the head of the union, Lewis Robinson, stood up,

said this is the hardest thing I've probably ever done in my career, but I had to do it, the

kids deserve better. And we can go through other data. Because I talked to and I visited

dozens of these schools around the country, to hear the students talking about what's

different for them today is extraordinarily powerful. One young man recently told me he

was a senior going on to graduate, said this has been fantastic, I wish you guys would

have done it a lot sooner, I would have had a lot more of my friends with me instead of on

the streets. And so we have to continue to push very, very hard in this area.

The final thing on the K to 12 side has been the waivers, the flexibility

we're provided around No Child Left Behind. This has just been absolutely fascinating to

me. It's been a joy to work on.

This was plan B. We wanted Congress to fix the law and fix it in a

bipartisan way. The law has been broken in many different ways, but we can get into that

in Q and A. And people might have differences of opinion, but I think the law was

actually impeding progress and impeding innovation and stifling creativity.

We have approved waivers to 32, 33 states. We have another dozen

that we're working through the process now. And to see these states just in so many

ways showing great courage, moving away from just a focus on one test score, but

looking at growth and gain, how much a student is improving, and looking at a whole set

of other metrics.

Are graduation rates going up? Are drop-out rates going down? Are

more of our high school graduates actually are college and career ready, not having to

take remedial classes? Are they actually going to college? Are they persevering? Some

folks are arguing this is more complicated. It is absolutely more complex. But it's much

more holistic, much more comprehensive. I always say if you have great third grade test

scores but a 50 percent drop-out rate, you're not changing students' lives. Looking at

long term outcomes I think is a huge step in the right direction.

What also came out through this process is, under No Child Left Behind,

this gets a little technical because in many schools in size was pretty high, many children,

poor children, minority children, children with special needs, English language learners

literally weren't part of the accountability system, literally weren't - they were invisible

under that.

And we have states like Wisconsin and North Carolina with 15,000,

20,000 additional children are now – the states are going to be held accountable for their

results. That's a huge step in the right direction. So the benefits have been fantastic

here.

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING
706 Duke Street, Suite 100

Ultimately, we desperately hope Congress with reauthorize and

reauthorize in a bipartisan way. And the President and I stand ready to spend as much

time as we need to to help them get there. The best thing that could happen once we get

to that point, again, whether it's now or next month or next year, whenever it might be, is

that we don't design this law here in Washington, we take the best ideas from the best

states, and if you do that, you can have a pretty spectacular reauthorization. If we put

our heads in the sand here in Washington, I think we'd do a disservice to the leadership

that's going on around the country.

Finally, all of this is to what end? It's trying to increase access and

completion rates in college. We've made a huge play around community colleges. We

think they're this unrecognized gem along the education continuum. Put on precedented

resources behind community colleges that are partnering directly with the private sector,

where public/private partnerships and real training are leading to real jobs.

Some of my most inspiring visits around the country are the community

colleges, and see 18 year olds and 38 year olds and 58 year olds retraining and retooling

and remanaging jobs, and advance manufacturing, and health care and IT. It's amazing

what community colleges are doing to drive economic revitalization, not just in their

communities, but entire regions.

And so we want to continue to invest very, very heavily there, not just from our

department, but in partnership with the Department of Labor, as well. One of the things

I'm most proud of is with increase from about 6 million Pell recipients to 10 million Pell

recipients over the past 2 years, that's more than a 50 percent increase, many of these

young people are first generation college goers. A \$40 billion increase in Pell Grants

over the next decade. We did that without going back to taxpayers for a nickel, simply

stopped subsidizing banks. I hope I don't offend anybody here. Put all that money into

young people, which I thought was the right investment to make. That was wildly controversial here in Washington. We thought it made absolute common sense. We

moved the ball down the field a heck of a long way through that effort.

And then finally in the higher side, we're promoting a big agenda around transparency. When costs are so high, everyone has to step up. Universities have to keep costs down; states have to continue to invest. Forty states cut funding to higher ed last year. That's not something that's good for us. We're trying to give young people and their families the ability to just basic information. What's a grant? What's a loan?

If I'm looking at these three different universities, what's the better financial package for us? There's been a tremendous opaqueness around that. If we can increase transparency, we think good actors will get more customers, more students going their way, bad actors where costs are too high, graduation rates are too low, we'll see less folks come their way, and hopefully some market pressure will come to bear there. So we have to continue to work extraordinarily hard. This is not simply about education. We are not going to have a strong country and a strong economy if we don't strengthen what we're doing at every level, early childhood, K to 12, higher ed. Those two things are inextricably linked.

As we move forward, we want to stay very, very focused on where we're going. Continue to focus on the early childhood investment; we're in that for the long haul. The K to 12 side, continue to drive reform. Think about how -- you're talking about technology, how can technology increase efficiency, better outcomes, as well? Think about the next generation of teachers coming in.

And we've talked a lot about this respect initiative which I'm happy to get into with a million teachers retiring over the next 4 or 5 or 6 years. Our ability to attract and retain great talent now shapes public education for the next 30. There's a once in a generation

opportunity.

And how we make a real profession or great talent wants to come, great talent wants to stay, gets recognized, gets compensated, gets rewarded, has the career ladders that are meaningful to them. A lot of work we can do together to make it a profession where we're not losing far too many of our great young talent and losing far too many folks at the front end that won't even think about coming into education.

So we're going to push very, very hard there.

And on the higher education side, we have to fundamentally break through on the cost issue. And with, again, 40 states cutting funding, more and more middle class families are thinking college isn't for them.

I told this story; I was in lowa not too long ago at a forum like this. A young girl came up to me; she was a senior in high school. She said right then, she happened to have a twin brother, right then in her family at the dinnertime they're trying to decide which twin to send to college, she or her brother. That's just real. This is not a desperately poor area. No family should have to be in that situation.

So how do we get universities to keep tuition down? How do we get universities to build cultures not around just access, but completion? How do we get 40 states to invest more in higher education, not less? I keep asking the question of governors if 80 percent of you cut funding to higher education, how many of you cut funding for prisons, for corrections? And the room always gets real quiet when I ask that question. So how do we collectively -- we want to invest in Pell Grants and other things, but we can't do it by ourselves. This idea of shared responsibility, how do we step up to the plate?

Ultimately, how do I want to be held accountable? The President has drawn a line in the sand. He said by 2020 we have to lead the world in college

graduation rates. So that's the North Star. Are we graduating young people from high

school college- and career-ready? And ultimately, if we had the best-educated workforce

in the world, we're going to have a very strong country and very strong economy. If we

continue to be 14th, 15th, 16th, we're going to continue to struggle for a long time. I think

that's absolutely unacceptable to all of us.

But I'll stop there, take any questions you might have, and thank you so

much for having me. (Applause)

You got a mic coming.

MS. SILBER: Diane Silber, clinical psychologist. I would like you to

flesh out a little more how you're going to bring a new generation of teachers with more

respect and a higher status in our society.

SECRETARY DUNCAN: Yes. So we're still working it through, and

actually this is a conversation we're trying to help facilitate, but we really think teachers

should be leading this. And we have held literally hundreds of Town Hall meetings with

teachers around the country, thousands of teachers, led by our teacher ambassador

fellows. But what I fundamentally think is this entire pipeline is broken, so this is not an

easy fix.

We don't have the right talent pool coming in. If you look at high-

performing countries -- Finland, Singapore, others -- 100 percent of their teachers come

from the top third or even the top 10 percent of their college graduates. We're all the way

at the other end.

How we train teachers is woefully inadequate right now. Sixty-two

percent of young teachers tell us that they're unprepared to enter the classroom. I

always say if 62 percent of doctors said they were unprepared to practice medicine, we

would have a revolution in this country. But the fact that it's teachers, somehow that's

okay.

I've been very public saying we should pay teachers a lot more money.

We need to have meaningful career ladders so that master teachers, mentor teachers,

can stay in the profession for the long term. So this is, for me, what do we do differently

from 18-year-olds all the way up to 58-, 65-year-olds?

Not an easy fix, but we have to challenge the status quo at every single

level. We want to help facilitate this, but you have great teachers around the country who

are starting to demand this. I think it's so important that we empower them and listen to

them.

We're calling this the Respect Project. The President has asked \$5

billion from Congress to put behind this. We have lots of information on our website.

This is still evolving as we think it through, but this is one where the public, unions,

management, parents, I think all of us have mutual self-interest in getting the next

generation of great talent in and doing a much better job of retaining them.

SPEAKER: Mr. Secretary, the administration under your leadership,

your commendable leadership, did a series of pioneering things during this first term, and

you've referred to some of them: Race to the Top, investment in underperforming

schools, student loan reforms, and the like. If there is a second term, can you give us a

sense of --?

SECRETARY DUNCAN: When there is a second term. (Laughter)

SPEAKER: When there's a second term, can you give us a sense of

what the agenda might be in that second term in the same context of the types of

pioneering steps you've so far taken?

SECRETARY DUNCAN: Yes. So we're still, obviously, fleshing that

through, but I think the big thing I tried to allude to earlier is I really want us to stay

focused. And for me this is not a time for us to make, you know, left turns. So I think

continuing to invest in early childhood education is hugely important, thinking about how

you drive reform on the K to 12 side, getting much better at getting graduate rates,

reductions in dropout rates. We talked about the next generation of talent, thinking about

how technology can play both there and in higher ed. And then ultimately, we have to

crack the nut on the higher ed side of having many more not just disadvantaged young

people, but middle class families think that college is for them and that it can't just go --

we have to increase completion rates.

So how we get there, we need to think all that thing through. We had at

the start of the administration, you know, \$100 billion in Recovery Act money. I would

love to have \$100 billion again. That's probably not realistic. And so how we think about

carrots in different ways, I'm a much bigger believer in carrots than sticks.

The waiver process has been fascinating to me that would provide this

huge amount of flexibility and room to be creative. And we haven't done it perfectly and

there's some lessons learned, but we did all that with no money, not a nickel out there.

We provided a little bit more flexibility to states in how they use existing resources. But

so how do we be creative with our rules, with our regulations? How do we become a

much better partner to states and less top-down bureaucracy, much more, you know,

focus on innovation and taking to scale best practices.

There are areas, the Investment Innovation Fund, the Promise

Neighborhood stuff we haven't talked about. If we had more financial resources I would

love to invest a lot more. There are so many good ideas out there that we haven't been

able to get to. But I don't see us changing directions radically in any way whatsoever.

How do we stay the course? How do we stay focused? And how do we continue to get

results?

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100

One quick on the turnaround stuff, turnaround schools and school

improvement grants, I talked a little bit about the data. One thing I'm really proud of is we

have 700,000 less kids today going to "dropout factories" than a couple years ago. So

that's a huge step in the right direction, but we still have so many kids going to dropout

factories. So how do we get that number to zero as quick as we can? That's what I think

we need to hold ourselves accountable for, how we implement it and how we're

executing against it.

The last thing I'll say is that the common core implementation is a big,

big, big deal. And raising standards is great, is the easy part. The hard part is getting

everyone to work to those standards, how we all help real teachers in real classrooms

with real students teach to these higher standards. It's going to take a lot of collective

work.

MR. SHIEBLEY: Hi, Secretary Duncan. My name is Matthew Shiebley.

I'm originally a Chicago Public School graduate, Whitney Young High School.

SECRETARY DUNCAN: Pretty good school.

MR. SHIEBLEY: Thank you. As you know, CPS has a pretty high

dropout rate, almost 50 percent. Only 4 percent of people actually end up getting their

bachelor's degree. And I wanted to know, we've been talking today about charter

schools and failing schools, public schools, really good public schools, how would you

recommend prioritizing limited funding? Do you support a school that's doing well, that's

succeeding? How does that leave money for failing schools and then charter schools in

this time of, you know, less financial resources?

SECRETARY DUNCAN: Yeah, there's so many debates in education

that I just think, quite honestly, are false debates and sort of absolutely miss the point.

And I'll answer it in a second, but there's always this debate college versus careers. I

think it's a crazy debate. We need so many more students prepared to go to college.

We need so many more students prepared to go for careers. We're not doing a good

enough job in either one of those, so it's not either/or, it's both/and. And, in fact, when

you really look at it, the skill set needed for college and career is actually very similar, so

we waste a lot of time there.

Charter schools, traditional schools, gifted schools, magnet schools, we

just need more good public schools in this country. That's what we need. And I always

say, you ask any seven-year-old do I go to charter school or a gifted or a traditional?

They don't have any idea. Do I have a great teacher? Am I in a safe school? Does the

principal know how I am? We need a lot more schools that look like that.

So high-performing charter schools, we need to replicate them, we need

to help them grow, we need to learn from them. There's been so much tension between

charters and districts; we've got to share better. One thing I tried to start to do at the end

in Chicago, I think the charter school community has actually been much better are

replicating success. We're trying to get districts to start to replicate success. So we

starting replicating the high-performing district schools, mostly elementary, but we spun

off in college preps, as you know, more like Whitney Young.

And so the more good schools are expanding, replicating, we know

where we have waiting lists, and the more we don't tolerate failure. And we have

extraordinarily high-performing charter schools. When I talk about the 5,000 lowest-

performing schools in the country, I think 200 of those are charters. And I challenge the

charter school community there's nothing about the name "charter" that means quality.

Quality means quality, and the charter school community has to challenge themselves to

close down or phase out those schools that aren't working. So success, let's replicate in

every form and fashion; non-success and failure, let's have a much lower level of

tolerance for it. Our tolerance for failure has been far too high for far too long.

Yes, ma'am?

MS. WERTHEIM: Mr. Secretary, I'm Mitzi Wertheim of the Naval Post

Graduate School. I'm a John Dewey-educated person. He was my mother's mentor and

she typed her way through graduate school typing all of his books, so I'm just giving you

kind of where I'm coming from.

SECRETARY DUNCAN: Wow, a little history there.

MS. WERTHEIM: Yeah. I'm a great believer in collaborative learning.

In the complex world we live in today, just thinking if you're this good in math, but don't

understand anything else, I think we're all losing out on that. And one of the things I've

observed in a lot of these public schools is the job is to give the answer, not to ask the

right question.

So my question for you is how do we open up curiosity and allow these

kids to challenge and not be penalized because their teachers don't understand the

answers? I mean, their job is really to say, well, who has the answer and where do we

go find it?

But I see things -- I've been with the Defense Department of 35 years.

Everything's siloed. Getting them to talk horizontally is really hard, and I'm hoping you

will do something about that in our educational system, starting with kindergarten.

SECRETARY DUNCAN: So we're working on it. We're not there yet. A

lot --

MS. WERTHEIM: How?

SECRETARY DUNCAN: A lot there. A couple things. Ultimately, the

question's sort of what do we value? What skills are we trying to teach? And is it the

rote memorization of facts that maybe you and I did some of and now you can Google

anything and you need less ability to have all that stuff memorized? You know,

penmanship, handwriting, you know, how big a deal is that going forward? But your

basic point of collaboration, critical thinking skills, being able to work as part of a diverse

team, as I go out and talk to CEOs around the country, every different type of sector

that's what they're looking for.

And again, you have amazing schools, traditional schools, charter

schools, high schools, elementary, literally kindergarten. We see remarkable work going

on where teachers are fostering that curiosity and asking questions the whole time and

teaching across the curriculum in very different ways. It is clearly not the norm. It is

clearly more the exception.

I always get asked what's the appropriate federal role? I think about that

every day. What's our role? What's the state role? What's the local role? One of the

best uses of federal time and energy and resources, I think, is for us to shine a spotlight

on best practices to help to scale them with more resources, with more flexibility,

whatever it might be. So where we're seeing those skill sets being taught, putting more

resources, giving them more flexibility, shining a spotlight, convening groups of teachers

and principals to talk about those things, we think are really, really important. So we can

help to try and build that culture; a long way to go.

The other thing that's emerging that I'm really interested in that's related,

but not identical, is we talked a lot about the cognitive versus the non-cognitive skills.

There's a lot of work now around grit and resiliency and perseverance. I've spent my

whole life working in the inner city on the south side of Chicago in a very impoverished

community, and everyone came from a very tough spot. Those kids that went out --

made it out and did extraordinarily well had this resiliency and grit that is just mind-

blowing. And so how do we start to teach those kinds of things, those skills?

A little thing I've tried to do just, you know, at home, I have two young

kids at home, trying to ask them at night sometimes what did you fail at today? Sort of a

nontraditional question. Not what did you succeed at, what did you fail at? What did you

have to challenge yourself? What did you not get right? How was that?

And so I think we're just in a very different world in terms of the skill sets

that our young people -- they have to be lifelong learners, and how we train teachers

differently in the front end, how we get more employers building real partnerships with

high schools and middle schools and elementary schools in articulating this is really

important.

The last thing, I don't want to go on too long, I went to a state where I

met with about a dozen superintendents in a room and about a dozen CEOs. And this is

sort of more blue collar, manufacturing, you know, that kind of work. I had a conversation

and asked the CEOs how many of these high school graduates are ready to come to

work for you? They said 50 percent. The room got real quiet. I said how often do you

guys talk together? They said when the secretary comes to town. (Laughter) They had

never met. They have never met.

So these are great educators, great business leaders, they're all in the

same community, they're all invested, they're not going anywhere. We have to break

those barriers. And the more of those we can help facilitate, but more at the local level

those conversations are happening as well, we need that. We need business and

education both to get out of their silos.

I'm getting the hook. (Laughter)

MR. RUBIN: No, no. Secretary Duncan, I don't know where you will fail

today, but I know one place you've succeeded, which is in these remarks and your

comments. You were terrific. Let's give the secretary a big hand. (Applause)

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100

Thank you. You were great, you really were.

And we are now adjourned. Thank you all.

\* \* \* \* \*

CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC

I, Carleton J. Anderson, III do hereby certify that the forgoing electronic

file when originally transmitted was reduced to text at my direction; that said transcript is

a true record of the proceedings therein referenced; that I am neither counsel for, related

to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which these proceedings were

taken; and, furthermore, that I am neither a relative or employee of any attorney or

counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the

outcome of this action.

Carleton J. Anderson, III

(Signature and Seal on File)

Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Virginia

Commission No. 351998

Expires: November 30, 2012